No Evidence of Disease Activity after Five Years of Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Therapy for Multiple Sclerosis: Detailed Report of Five Patients
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Abstract

Background: Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an immune-mediated disease of the central nervous system. Its treatment has focused on inflammation control as early as possible to avoid disability. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AHSC) has been used for treating MS since 1996 without decisive results regarding benefits or long-term efficacy. We report the follow-up of five patients who were evaluated for up to five years after the stem cell transplantation treatment and who did not present any disease activity.

Methods: Five patients followed up at an MS center in Belo Horizonte, Brazil, who had relapsing-remitting MS with high disease activity, underwent AHSC from 2009 to 2011. They were evaluated clinically, with magnetic resonance imaging, and by the Expanded Disability Status Scale, every six months and up to five years after transplantation.

Results: The patients in our study comprised four women and one man, with age ranging from 25 to 50 years, and time since disease onset ranging from 4 to 17 years at the time of the procedure. Four patients improved, one patient was stabilized, and all patients continued to be free of disease activity after 5 years.

Discussion: Through improving patient selection and decreasing the time since disease onset, AHSC could stop epitope spreading and disease progression. Despite multiple other therapeutic choices, AHSC is a treatment to consider for aggressive MS disease.
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Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is the most prevalent chronic inflammatory disease that affects the central nervous system (CNS) and is considered to be the prototype disease immune-mediated by auto-reactive T cell [1]. Inflammatory reaction targeting self CNS myelin and axonal proteins evolves to demyelination and degeneration in multiple areas of white and gray matter, which leads to progressive disability in genetically susceptible young people [2]. The most common symptoms are progressive paralysis, sensibility disorder vision loss, coordination disability, and cognitive impairment [3].

The pathophysiology currently accepted is that myelin-reactive T cells are activated in the peripheral circulation or peripheral lymph nodes by antigens with which susceptible hosts had been in contact, possibly during childhood, constituting a breach of auto-tolerance to CNS antigen [1]. Later, these T cells cross the blood-brain barrier and encounter the myelin antigen in the CNS parenchyma, presented by activated microglia, starting an inflammatory response that causes myelin destruction and axon degeneration [1]. As other antigens become exposed through cell destruction, epitope spreading or activation of T cells against multiple other antigens occurs, and the inflammation is thus perpetuated in the inflammatory reaction. Axonal damage and epitope spreading from the outset are two current concepts that support the urgency of early and effective treatment [4].
The evidence for inflammation and degeneration in MS has three major parameters: clinical presentation of intermittent neurological deficits (relapses); appearance and accumulation of lesions seen through magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as gadolinium-enhanced lesions or new T2-weighted hyperintense lesions, respectively; and progression of disability, as measured by the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) [5,6]. These three parameters (clinical presentation, MRI, and EDSS) taken together are considered evidence for disease activity and have been recently referred to as a composite named No Evidence of Disease Activity (NEDA) and are promising targets for clinical trials [7].

There are currently many disease-modifying drugs in MS, all of them focused on inflammation control [2]. The impact of these therapies on MS activity and progression has supported the evidence of an immune-mediated disease [3]. Otherwise, none of the treatments, even the most effective immunosuppression, has been reported to help maintain long-term control of disease progression [2]. Furthermore, the treatments that imposed periods of selective or systemic immunosuppression have high risks of infection and malignant diseases.

Methods

We aim to report on five patients who were followed up at a small MS center in Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil, where 332 patients with MS were treated and followed up personally by the first author. They were referenced to Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil, to undergo AHSCT at the university hospital (Hospital das Clínicas, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo), from January 2009 to April 2011. Eleven patients were selected at the same period for the procedure, however only five had got the funds to support their access because they had to travel and stay in another city far from home. The methods used there for AHSCT have been described elsewhere by the responsible team [7,11]. The five patients were treated with cyclophosphamide (12 g) for a conditioning regimen, a non-ablative therapy, according to the protocol of the transplantation center at the time [11]. After the procedure, the patients returned to the original MS center and were evaluated every six months up to December 2015, with complete EDSS evaluation, MRI imaging, and clinical examination, by the same neurologist. The patients were imaged at baseline and follow-up at the same 1,5T GE (General Eletric, HDX). The sequences Sagital and Coronal FLAIR T2, Sagital and coronal T1 before and after gadolinium were evaluated by the same radiologist. A total of 50 MRIs and 200 sequences was evaluated and compared. The lesions were counted and measured.

Results

At the first evaluation at six months after the procedure, four patients presented improved EDSS, and one of them was stabilized. After five years, there were no relapses, no new T2 lesions, no Gad+ lesions, or EDSS progression; this means that they remained with NEDA. Table 1 show the patients’ age, age at disease onset, relapses one year before EDSS just before AHSCT, EDSS after one and five years of follow-up, and MRI results. None of the five patients were using any other medication during this follow-up period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>SSP</th>
<th>CMMM</th>
<th>HSM</th>
<th>AMJM</th>
<th>FSGR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of first symptoms</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness duration</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS at the first five years of disease duration</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New T2 lesions one year before treatment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapses one year before treatment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At the five-year evaluation, patients were asked about their experience with the ASCT; all the five patients answered that they would undergo the treatment again to be free of disease, if they need to. All of them have signed an informed consent for this report. Considering that all five patients had high disease activity, these results were a very good outcome for all of them. We continue to follow up those patients and measure disease progression, comparing with other treatments in our center.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>SSP</th>
<th>CMMM</th>
<th>HSM</th>
<th>AMJM</th>
<th>FSGR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatments before AHSCT</td>
<td>Methylprednisolone/Interferon beta/ cyclophosphamide/mitoxantrone</td>
<td>Methylprednisolone/Interferon beta/glatiramer acetate/IVIg/Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>Methylprednisolone/Interferon beta/ Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>Methylprednisolone/Interferon beta</td>
<td>Methylprednisolone/ interferon beta/IVIg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predominant symptom localization</td>
<td>cerebellar</td>
<td>cervical/proprioception</td>
<td>cervical/motor</td>
<td>thoracic/motor</td>
<td>brainstem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS score before treatment</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS score five years after treatment</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapses five years after treatment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI activity after treatment (new T2 lesions and Gad+)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Clinical profile of five patients who underwent HSCT, before and 5 years after treatment

**Discussion**

Considering that all five patients had high disease activity, these results were a very good outcome for all of them. We continue to follow up those patients and measure disease progression, comparing with other treatments in our center.

In a recent review, Sormani et al. compared the results of two Swedish studies that report the proportion of NEDA patients at five-year follow-up cohort after AHSCT, which enrolled 24 and 41 patients, respectively, with the proportion of patients that remained NEDA under other therapies [8]. The proportion of NEDA patients after that treatment were 83% at 2 years and 60% at 5 years in one cohort, and 78% at 2 years and 68% at 5 years in the other cohort.

Reviewing current treatments such as monoclonal antibodies, in two alemtuzumab and one ocrelizumab studies, the patients that remained NEDA were under 39% at three-year follow-up [7]. The body of evidence accumulated about AHSCT suggests that this therapy could be more effective to stop disease activity than any other therapy, in a longer follow-up.

Through improving patient selection and decreasing the time since disease onset, AHSCT could stop epitope spreading and disease progression [8].

Treatment with AHSCT has implicit difficulties, given its need for specific facilities and its very restrictive inclusion criteria, but it should be considered in cases of very active disease that do not respond to other treatments. Considering the high cost of the current therapies, and the risk of death through the long-term use of immunosuppressive therapies, AHSCT is still an option for selected patients.
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