

Journal of Public Health and Disease Prevention

Making Healthcare Waste Management a Priority: The Reality of Solid Waste Disposal at an Urban Referral Hospital in Uganda

Katusiime C*

Kiruddu Referral Hospital, Uganda

***Corresponding author:** Katusiime C, Kiruddu Referral Hospital, Kiruddu Post Box 11770 Kampala, Uganda, E-mail: katutina1@gmail.com

Citation: Katusiime C (2018) Making Healthcare Waste Management a Priority: The Reality of Solid Waste Disposal at an Urban Referral Hospital in Uganda. J Public Health Dis Prev 1: 105

Article history: Received: 08 November 2018, Accepted: 27 December 2018, Published: 28 December 2018

Abstract

Background: There are significant gaps in healthcare waste management (HCWM) in many developing countries. Poor HCWM practices potentially expose patients, medical personnel, waste handlers and the community to major occupational, environmental and public health risks. HCWM practices at referral hospitals in sub-Saharan Africa have not been described previously.

Methods: We reviewed cross-sectional qualitative data at Kiruddu Referral Hospital, Kiruddu, a large urban hospital, between August 2018 and December 2018 with the primary aim of assessing current procedures and practices of handling medical waste.

Results: There was a general lack of systematic guidelines and principles which were important factors contributing to suboptimal HCWM.

Conclusion: Emphasis by the hospital authorities should be focused on institutional and operational guidelines, capacity building and adoption of environmentally and economically sound HCWM approaches.

Keywords: Healthcare Waste Management; Developing Countries; Hazardous Waste

Introduction

On a global scale, medical waste generally represents a small percentage of total generated waste. Nonetheless, up to 25% percent of this medical waste is hazardous/infectious and therefore is of concern because of the environmental hazards and public health risks that this waste carries [1,2]. Over the past decade, Kampala district, which is the capital city of Uganda, has experienced a significant upsurge in the number of both public and private healthcare establishments with a 15% increment from 4,450 facilities in 2010 to 5,117 facilities in 2016 [3].

This coupled with the increased population growth and the adoption of disposable medical products has inevitably contributed to significant increases in healthcare waste (HCW) [4,5]. It is also crucial to note that there's evidence that suggests that recent incidence rises of HIV, Hepatitis B and C have been attributed to waste handlers, on the account that 40% of waste being handled by waste handlers is hazardous [6].

In developing countries, especially sub-Saharan Africa, not only has the field of HCWM generally received insufficient attention and interest but there's a general paucity of data in this regard [7]. Current evidence in HCW research in hospitals in Africa has only been extensively conducted in Ethiopia and Nigeria [8-15].

Typically, HCWM in Uganda is the responsibility of the national environment protection and conservation agency, the National Environmental Management Authority (NEMA), which is governed by the National Environment Act 1995, Cap 153 and the national environment (Audit) Regulations, 2006 [16,17]. In spite of existing regulations, there is a myriad of challenges including a significant lack of awareness of existing policies, limited technical capacity, ineffective implementation of policies, limited budget allocations, lack of government commitment and insufficient stakeholder participation and linkages [18,19]. The main objective of this study is to assess the current procedures and practices of handling medical waste at the Kiruddu Referral Hospital-Kiruddu.

Materials and Methods

Study Site

Kiruddu Referral Hospital-, Kiruddu, is an urban, public hospital located approximately 13 kilometers south-east of the main

Mulago National Referral Hospital and has been operational for a little over 28 months. The construction of this hospital is part of government efforts to improve health service delivery because of the rapid expansion of Kampala, the central city's, population [20]. Kiruddu Referral Hospital- Kiruddu provides both inpatient and outpatient services with an average outpatient turnover of 250 patients daily.

Study Design

A qualitative observational study at the Kiruddu Referral hospital – Kiruddu was from August 2018 to December 2018. Data was collected in accordance with the Individualized Rapid Assessment Tool (I-RAT), a specified tool developed by the United Nations Development Program Global Environment Facility project that can be utilized to indicate levels of HCWM at healthcare facilities. It can also be used to reduce disease burden attributed to poor HCWM. This is the first study in Uganda to evaluate waste management practices in a public health institution. The findings from this study will be fundamental in devising effective HCWM practices and standards. This study will also seek to make the case for prioritizing HCWM interventions. The measures suggested in this study will be adopted as the benchmark for HCWM in health centers and hospitals countrywide.

Data Collection

The data for this study was collected through the use of oral interviews and regular field visits from August 2018 to December 2018. Discussions were initiated by an open-ended question on the HCWM experiences at the referral hospital. Consent was provided prior to the evaluation. Audiotapes were subsequently transcribed verbatim and qualitative thematic content analysis was conducted by two independent coders: the investigator and a graduate public health student.

Qualitative concepts were generated from the data following the evaluation. The two independent coders read the transcripts line by line and abstracted key ideas and themes.

Results

A total of 42 regular visits which were twice weekly, were performed to monitor how HCWM was practiced. Data were collected regarding waste generation, collection, segregation, storage and transportation on-site. There were no significant differences observed during visits. Seven major themes emerged from the evaluation: (i) policies and guidelines, (ii) medical waste generation, (iii) segregation and collection, (iv) waste handling (v) storage and transportation, (vi) disposal and (vii) safety. Assessment and scores were based on compliance with the Individualized Rapid Assessment Tool (IRAT) (Table 1).

SN	Questions	Weight value for pre-intervention	"Y" or "N"	Score
	Organization			
1	In-charge of HCWM	5	Ν	0
2	Permanent committee that deals with HCWM and meets on a regular basis	1.5	Ν	0
3	Roles and responsibilities regarding HCWM made clear to the staff	1.5	Ν	0
	Policy and Planning			
4a	HCF has written policies dealing with HCWM	2	Ν	0
4b	HCF has written plans, manuals, or written procedures dealing with HCWM	2	Ν	0
5	Policies, plans, manuals, and/or written procedures consistent with national laws, regulations, and any permits	3.5	Ν	0
6	HCF has a plan for recycling and waste minimization	1.5	Ν	0
7	HCF policy explicitly mentions a commitment to protect the environment	0.5	Ν	0
8	HCF is mercury-free or HCF has a policy or plan to phase out mercury	1.5	Ν	0
	Training			
9	HCF has a training program on HCWM for managers, health professionals, waste workers, and auxiliary staff	5	Ν	0
10	Training program includes relevant national laws and regulations	1	Ν	0
11	Training program includes segregation, collection and handling of sharps waste, use of proper containers and bags for infectious waste, color coding, 3/4th fill rule, use of personal protection equipment by waste workers, transport, storage and treatment	2	N	0
12	Staff are trained, including new staff when they begin their employment	3	Ν	0
13	Refresher training at least once a year	1	Ν	0
	Occupational Health and Safety			
14	Policies and plans related to HCWM include occupational health and safety (including policies for NSI or exposure to blood splatter). OR HCF has separate occupational health and safety policies that include needle-sticks and exposure to blood	3	N	0

SN	Questions	Weight value for pre-intervention	"Y" or "N"	Score
15	Workers who collect, transport and treat waste are provided with proper PPE (gloves, shoes or boots and aprons)	2	N	0
16	Health workers and workers handling waste are given hepatitis and tetanus vaccinations	2	N	0
	Monitoring, Evaluation and Corrective Action			
17	System of internal monitoring or inspection to determine compliance with HCWM requirements	1	N	0
18	System of taking corrective action when practices or technologies related to HCWM do not meet the requirements	1	N	0
19	Policies and/or plans are reviewed or updated at least once a year	0.5	N	0
	Financing			
20	HCF has an annual allocation in its budget for HCWM	4	N	0
21	Current budget is sufficient for HCWM	2	N	0
22	HCF has a long-term financing plan or mechanism to cover the costs for sustainable HCWM	0.5	N	0
	Classification and Segregation			
23	Wastes are properly segregated at the source according to different categories	5	N	0
24	Health workers are familiar with the classification and segregation requirements	2	N	0
	Waste Generation Data			
25	Amounts of total waste and infectious waste produced per day has been measured	1	N	0
	Percentage of infectious waste relative to total waste	0.5	N	0
	Kilograms unrecycled waste per bed per day	0.5	N	0
	Collection and Handling			
26	Used syringe needles are collected without recapping	2	N	0
27	Sharps waste are collected in sharps containers or destroyed using needle destroyers	5	N	0
28	Sharps containers are puncture-resistant and leak-proof OR needle destroyers are approved under existing regulations or standards	2	N	0
29	Sharps containers are filled only 3/4th full OR needle destroyers are well maintained	2.5	N	0
30	Sharps containers or needle-destroyers are always available	1	N	0
31	Sharps containers or needle-destroyers are properly placed such that they are easily accessible to personnel and located as close as possible to the immediate area where the sharps are used	1.5		
32	Health workers know what to do in the event of a needle-stick injury OR health workers are familiar with the policy on NSI	1	N	0
33	Plastic bags are used for non-sharps infectious waste of good quality OR specialized containers that are disinfected, cleaned and reused and do not require plastic bags are used	1	Y	1
34	Plastic bags are always available OR specialized containers described in #33 are always available	1	Y	1
35	Bag holders or hard containers holding the plastic bags are of good quality. Specialized containers that are disinfected, cleaned and reused and do not require plastic bags are used	0.5	Y	0.5
36	Infectious wastes are removed at least once a day	1	Y	1
37	Waste workers know what to do if sharps or infectious waste is accidentally spilled OR waste workers are familiar with the spill clean-up plans	0.5	N	0
	Color Coding and Labeling			
38	HCF uses a system of color coding for different types of wastes	3	N	0
39	Colors of the waste containers are containers are consistent with the color coding	2	N	0
40	Infectious waste bags are colored or labeled in accordance with the policies or regulations	1	N	0
	Posters or Signage			
41	Posters or signs showing proper segregation of healthcare waste	0.5	N	0
	Transportation inside Health Establishment			
42	Waste is transported away from patient areas and other clean areas	0.5	Y	0.5
43	Waste is transported away in a closed (covered), wheeled transport cart	1	N	0
44	Transport cart is cleaned at least once a day	0.5	N	0
	Storage			
45	Storage area meets the proper requirements	1	Y	1

SN	Questions	Weight value for pre-intervention	"Y" or "N"	Score
46	Storage area is kept clean	0.5	Y	0.5
47	Wastes are removed before the maximum allowable storage time is exceeded	1	Ν	0
	Hazardous Chemical, Pharmaceutical and Radioactive Waste			
48	Hazardous chemical, pharmaceutical, and radioactive wastes are segregated from infectious and general non-risk wastes	4	N	0
49	HCF has a plan for treatment and disposal of hazardous chemical, pharmaceutical, and radioactive wastes	1	N	0
	Treatment and Disposal			
50	HCF treats its infectious waste (either on-site or at an off-site treatment facility) before final disposal	25	N	0
51	Laboratory cultures and stocks of infectious agents are treated within HCF before being taken away from the facility	2	N	0
52	Contingency plan for treatment of infectious waste in the event that the treatment technology is shut down for repair	1	N	0
53	Waste is transported safely to the treatment area	0.5	Ν	0
54	Treatment area is located in a place that is easily accessible to the waste worker but not accessible to the general public	0.5	N	0
55	HCF has a program of regular inspection and periodic maintenance of the treatment technology	3	N	0
56	Treatment system is clean, operating properly and well maintained	3	Ν	0
57	Treatment system destroys or mutilates sharps in order to prevent reuse	1	Ν	0
58	HCF uses an approved non-incineration treatment of technology such as an autoclave- shredder, integrated steam treatment system or microwave unit	6	N	0
59	Incinerator meets international standards	3	Ν	0
60	PVC plastics are kept out of the waste that is burned	1	Ν	0
61	Waste that is treated in an alternative technology is disposed of in a sanitary landfill OR incinerator ash is buried in a hazardous waste landfill	1	N	0
	Wastewater			
62	HCF treats its wastewater (liquid waste) before being released OR HCF is connected to a sanitary sewer that is linked to a wastewater treatment plant	3	N	0
63	Treated wastewater from HCF meets national or international standards	1	Ν	0
	Total Score	142		5.5

 Table 1: Evaluation of Healthcare waste management practices

Policies and guidelines

There is neither a HCWM department nor HCWM committee in place to monitor HCWM activities in the hospital. Although the hospital director is aware that there are government rules and regulations pertaining to waste management, the hospital has not developed institutional HCWM policies and standard operating procedures. Training programs related to HCWM, standard operating procedures and legal provisions for waste management, segregation, collection, disposal, safety issues and safe injection practices for patients' caregivers, physicians, nurses, waste handlers, were non-existent.

Medical Waste Generation

Medical waste inventories throughout the hospital are non-existent hence quantitative data pertaining to the amount of medical waste generated was absent. Additional waste statistics indicating source, type and time were also lacking. Pictorial illustrations that would be critical in guiding patients, patients' caregivers and visitors at waste generation points were non-existent.

Segregation and Collection

Although waste was generally discarded in large waste bins and sharps in separate sharps containers, the concept of waste segregation was non-existent. Insufficient segregation at waste disposal points resulted in domestic waste being mixed with HCW (Figure 1a,1b and 1c). This will inevitably increase both waste disposal costs and health risks to health workers, waste disposal workers and the public (Figure 1). There was a visible absence of appropriate color coded and labeled waste bins and as a result, the hospital did not follow the recommended WHO basic three-bin system for simple and safe waste segregation system at the points of waste generation (Figure 2) [21].

Posters aimed at reinforcing good waste management practices, indicating the type of waste for instance hazardous vs. non-hazardous waste to be disposed in waste receptacles, placed at appropriate waste generation points for instance on the walls

adjacent to these waste receptacles were absent (Figure 2). Furthermore, absence of appropriate labeling, reinforcement and color coding makes identification of the type and source of medical waste difficult for both health workers and the public.



(a)



(b)



Figure 1: Mixed Medical and Domestic Waste



(a)



(b) Figure 2: Absent Waste Segregation Messaging

Waste Handling

Transportation of waste is done with wheelbarrows. The transport staff was observed to adorn only one form of personal protective equipment (PPE) - gloves. They did not wear the satisfactory PPE – in particular overalls, eye protection, masks, aprons and closed shoes as is recommended by WHO [21]. Because of inadequate color coding and segregation, hazardous and non-hazardous waste is transported as mixed waste.

Storage and Transportation

The hospital neither has a dug-out waste pit nor a medical waste incinerator. There is an on-site temporary waste storage area that was cordoned off under the directive of the hospital director. Although this temporary waste storage area is isolated from the general public, it was initially an open air storage area, making it completely exposed to the elements particularly rain and heat (Figure 3). At the start of the evaluation, in the likelihood of rain, the rainwater that fell on the waste, washed through the waste producing a toxic leachate, that flowed downhill by gravity to the gate and then outside the hospital premises. In the likelihood of high temperatures during the hot season, offensive odors were generated from this waste area which could increase exposure risk to waste workers.

During the course of the evaluation, in December, plans were approved to construct a waste storage area that would address the issue of exposure and the toxic leachate (Figure 4). The storage area is partitioned into two areas is relatively clean and cordoned off from the public.



(a)



(b) Figure 3: Old Open Air Temporary Storage Area





(b) Figure 4: New waste storage

Disposal

Final disposal to an off-site area is done by outsourced disposal company trucks which come to the hospital once weekly and in all cases infectious waste is transported together with non-infectious waste. The disposal workers typically do not wear sufficient protective gear for instance, overalls, eye protection and masks hence increasing the potential of health risks. Protection is limited to gloves and boots. Information pertaining to waste composition and mass has not routinely provided to waste handlers.

Safety

Mitigation against infectious diseases for physicians, nurses and handlers of medical waste was virtually non-existent. Serological screening for HIV sero-conversion and vaccination against infectious diseases in particular Hepatitis B and tetanus as well as post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) against HIV in the event of needle-stick injuries were absent.

Discussion

This is the first study of its kind in Uganda, documenting that WHO and United Nations Development Program Global Environment Facility guidelines on HCWM are not being followed by the referral hospital in Kiruddu, Kampala a public sector healthcare hospital. With increasing urbanization, most developing countries are facing the growing challenges of healthcare waste management. In Uganda, there are hardly any robust frameworks for operationalizing, institutionalizing and sustaining best practices for HCWM.

HCWM is an essential element of how efficient a hospital and consequently a country's health system is. The findings from this study reiterate the inefficiency of medical waste management at the Kiruddu referral hospital. The study findings demonstrated that HCWM is not a priority in this referral hospital as evidenced by the lack of allocated budget funding towards waste management and the lack of economical waste management facilities for instance on-site incinerators.

HCWM protocols and regulations in developing countries have generally not been implemented in comparison to developed countries. This effectually shows how inefficient the health systems of developing countries are. Because HCWM is not considered as priority, hardly any funding is allocated and as a result, HCWM policies, protocols, regulations, guidelines and implementation plans in most developing countries are non-existent and ineffective and are still an unmet goal in developing countries.

The pre-intervention evaluation showed poor waste management practices at Kiruddu referral hospital, which is similar to studies in other countries that demonstrate that compliance with HCWM regulations and guidelines in many healthcare facilities remains a challenge.

It is critical to note that one of the common barriers to effective HCWM in health facilities is lack of proper waste management practices among patients and patient caregivers as evidenced in this study by the observed lack of waste segregation at waste generation points [22].

As is seen by the findings in this study, solid waste is not sorted which in turn makes handling hazardous. Because mis-segregated HCW, subsequently, leads to elevation of HCWM costs, implementation of HCW segregation and minimization are therefore crucial to decreasing costs attributed to HCWM. During observations, it was obvious that transportation of hazardous and non-hazardous waste was also not segregated. Inability to separate medical waste from ordinary garbage potentially puts health workers, disposal workers and scavengers at serious risk of contracting HIV/AIDS, tetanus, Hepatitis B and C. There's compelling evidence that confirms that the prevalence of Hepatitis B and C infections are much higher in healthcare workers and waste-exposed populations in comparison to the general population, thus making them high risk groups [23-26]. Infectious waste increases transmissibility of

major bacterial infections particularly *salmonella typhi, pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli and staphylococcus aureus* [27-30]. These pathogens can lead to the contamination of groundwater and air particularly, *E. coli*.

It therefore is essential for health institutions to promote vigorous HCWM practices. To counteract the unnecessary health risks of HCWM it ought to be mandatory for health institutions to adopt full PPE for waste disposal workers and health workers (cleaners) as well as availability of annual blood testing, appropriate PEP and mandatory vaccination programs for all waste disposal workers and health workers against hepatitis A and B and tetanus. Positive strides were taken during the course of the evaluation to address the toxic leachate from the previous open air temporary waste storage area to an enclosed spacious waste storage area. It is critical that every hospital and health center have internal waste disposal inventories. A central online manifest system that monitors medical waste transportation is essential to ensure firstly, that infectious medical waste is tracked and secondly that protocols and regulations regarding safe disposal of infectious medical waste are adhered to.

Effective capacity building and training programs incorporating public and private health institutions, waste collection and disposal companies, municipal governments, partners and stakeholders are vital to scaling up implementation of HCWM policies. There's need for effective visual training aids, health talks, announcements and counseling sessions that equip patient caregivers with proper waste management techniques. This study has limitations. Most studies on HCWM have a quantitative aspect to it. There was no quantitative data because of non-existent health care waste management policies. The other limitation is that this study was restricted to one hospital hence the findings may not be generalizable. Adequate budgetary allocations, adoption of robust HCWM plans and HCWM training at health centers and hospitals should also be prioritized as key to the health policy agenda.

The study has several limitations. Neither cost nor benefits data were available or collected during this study period hence inability to conduct either a cost analysis or a cost-benefit analysis. There is need for cost-benefit research in HCWM to inform policy on the economic benefits of alternative types of HCWM systems for instance non-incineration HCWM vs incineration HCWM. Fundamental costs pertaining to loss of life, lost work days due to occupational related illness, increased health care needs were unable to be estimated because the data was not available.

Due to the limited resources we had in our setting, we were unable to characterize the waste stream in terms of outpatient waste vs inpatient waste. Another limitation is that the study was based on a single-center evaluation (one facility). As much as we sought to illuminate the area of HCWM in health institutions in urban settings in developing countries, the data obtained in our evaluation may not be generalizable to other health institutions in developing countries. Despite these limitations, the study confirms that operationalization of sound HCWM practices in urban referral hospitals in Uganda is generally lacking. Health institutions can expect that a significant proportion of waste handlers, patients, medical personnel and scavengers harbor undiagnosed and untreated Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C and HIV. Health institutions should prioritize adoption of robust HCWM practices and concurrently implement screening, prevention and treating algorithms for hepatitis B, hepatitis C and HIV in these high-risk populations in order to prevent incidence resurgence.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The general observation is that HCWM at Kiruddu referral hospital is poorly-coordinated, under-financed and under-prioritized achieving a score of 5.5% (Table 1). Due to poor leadership and weak HCWM implementation practices, the institutional framework for HCWM that encompasses policies, surveillance and interventions is virtually non-existent. Compliance of Kiruddu referral hospital with WHO recommendations of immunization and use of PPE for waste handlers and all healthcare workers is important in reducing risks of exposure to infectious diseases. The ramifications of poor HCWM as seen in this study are far-reaching affecting health workers, waste handlers, waste disposal workers, scavengers, the local community and the environment. It is crucial for health institutions, to establish occupational medical departments that are dedicated to effectively governing generation of medical waste. It is also critical to note that training and capacity building are the cornerstones to effective HCWM.

Adoption of environmentally and economically sound HCWM approaches for instance installation of an incinerator and autoclaves will go a long way in counteracting the effect of hazardous waste and toxic leachate at Kiruddu referral hospital.

The author provides the following recommendations as a way forward towards effective and sustainable HCWM:

1. Formulation of an appropriate institutional HCWM plan and HCW SOPs.

2. Creation of an occupational health and safety department that will monitor HCWM activities and provide appropriate vaccination for tetanus, Hepatitis B and PEP for HIV.

Prioritization of HCWM in order to provide for job creation, improved service delivery, cost reduction and revenue generation.
 Budgetary allocation for HCWM.

5. Prioritizing HCWM activities and research.

6. Regular staff training and capacity building.

7. Information, Education and Communication (IEC) of HCWM practices to hospital staff, waste handlers, waste disposal workers, patients and patients caretakers.

8. Prioritizing HCWM strategies for instance use of multi-chamber incinerators and adoption of alternative modern technologies to treat HCW for instance autoclaves and shredders.

Acknowledgements

I accord double honor to the chief custodian of this project, Prophet Elvis Mbonye to whom I am entirely indebted. I would like to thank the Director of Kiruddu referral hospital, Dr. Charles Kabugo for his invaluable collaboration and support during this study.

References

1. WHO (2017) Safe management of wastes from health-care activities: A summary. WHO Geneva.

2. Rushbrook P, Zghondi R (2005) Better health care waste management: an integral component of health investment. World Health Organization, Geneva.

3. Uganda Bureau of Statistics (2017) Statistical Abstract from. Uganda.

4. Arab M, Baghbani RA, Tajvar M, Pourreza A, Omrani G, et al. Report: The assessment of hospital waste management: a case study in Tehran. Waste Manag Res 2008; 26: 304-8.

5. Taghipour H, Mosaferi M (2009) The challenge of medical waste management: a case study in northwest Iran-Tabriz. Waste Manag Res 27: 328-35.

6. Victoria Masembe (2012) AIDSTAR-One FACT SHEET Health Care Waste Management in Uganda. Uganda.

7. de Lima Moura L, Mahler CF, Caulliraux HM (2018) Development and application of a protocol to assess healthcare waste management. Multi J Waste Resour Residues. 1-7.

8. Tadesse ML, Kumie A (2014) Healthcare waste generation and management practice in government practice in government health centers of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. BMC Public Health 14: 1221.

9. Tesfahun E, Kumie A, Legesse W, Kloos H, Beyene A (2014) Assessment of composition and generation rate of healthcare wastes in selected public and private hospitals of Ethiopia. Waste Manag Res 32: 215-20.

10. Debere MK, Gelaye KA, Alamdo AG, Trifa ZM (2013) Assessment of the health care waste generation rates and its management system in hospitals of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2011. BMC Public Health 13: 28.

11. Idowu I, Alo B, Atherton W, Al Khaddar R (2013) Profile of medical waste management in two healthcare facilities in Lagos, Nigeria: a case study. Waste Manag Res. 31: 494-501.

12. Anozie OB, Lawani LO, Eze JN, Mamah EJ, Onoh RC, et al. (2017) Knowledge, attitude and practice of healthcare managers to medical waste management and occupational safety practices: findings from Southeast Nigeria. J Clin Diagn Res 11: IC01-4.

13. Oyekale AS, Oyekale TO (2017) Healthcare waste management practices and safety indicators in Nigeria. BMC public health 17: 740.

14. Awodele O, Adewoye AA, Oparah AC (2016) Assessment of medical waste management in seven hospitals in Lagos, Nigeria. BMC public health 16: 269.

15. Oli AN, Ekejindu CC, Adje DU, Ezeobi I, Ejiofor OS, et al. (2016) Healthcare waste management in selected government and private hospitals in Southeast Nigeria. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 6: 84-89.

16. ULII (1995) National Environment Act 1995. Uganda Legal Information Institute.

17. ULII (2006) National Environment (Audit) Regulations, 2006. Uganda Legal Information Institute.

18. Banyenzaki Y (2018) Why can't NEMA, NFA act to save our environment from destruction? Daily Monitor.

19. Kajubu E (2017) Private clinics defy guidelines on disposal of medical waste.URN.

20. Namuli Z (2013) Government to construct more referral hospitals Kampala National Broadcasting Service (NBS).

21. WHO (2017) Safe management of wastes from health-care activities: A summary. World Health Organization, Geneva.

22. Oroei M, Momeni M, Palenik CJ, Danaei M, Askarian M (2014) A qualitative study of the causes of improper segregation of infectious waste at Nemazee Hospital, Shiraz, Iran. J Infect Public Health 7: 192-8.

23. Ream PS, Tipple AF, Barros DX, Souza AC, Pereira MS (2016) Biological risk among hospital housekeepers. Arch Environ Occup Health. 71: 59-65.

24. Rachiotis G, Papagiannis D, Markas D, Thanasias E, Dounias G, et al. (2012) Hepatitis B virus infection and waste collection: prevalence, risk factors, and infection pathway. Am J Ind Med 55: 650-5.

25. Sharma A, Sharma V, Sharma S, Singh P (2013) Awareness of biomedical waste management among health care personnel in Jaipur, India. Oral Health Dent Manag 12: 32-40.

26. Qaiser S (2012) Survey of sharp waste disposal system in clinics of New Karachi. J Pak Med Assoc 62: 163-4.

27. Soares SR, Finotti AR, da Silva VP, Alvarenga RA (2013) Applications of life cycle assessment and cost analysis in health care waste management. Waste Manag 33: 175-83.

28. Abah SO, Ohimain El (2011) Healthcare waste management in Nigeria: a case study. J Public Health Epidemiol 3: 99-110.

29. Shiferaw Y, Abebe T, Mihret A. (2011) Hepatitis B virus infection among medical waste handlers in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes 4: 479.

30. Yenesew MA, Moges HG, Woldeyohannes SM (2012) A cross sectional study on factors associated with risk perception of healthcare workers toward healthcare waste management in health care facilities of Gondar town, northwest Ethiopia. Int J Infect Control 8: 1-9.