

Assessment of Heavy Metals Loading Index and Stress Marker Enzymes in Agricultural Soil Receiving Cafeteria Effluent

Chukwudozie¹, Izuchukwu C¹ and Oparaji Emeka H^{2*}

¹Department of Science Laboratory Technology (Biochemistry option), University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu state

²Department of Biochemistry, State University of Medical and Applied Sciences, Igb-Eno Enugu state

^{*}**Corresponding Author:** Oparaji Emeka H, Department of Biochemistry, State University of Medical and Applied Sciences, Igb-Eno Enugu state, Tel.: 07035759364, E-mail: emeka.oparaji65@yahoo.com

Citation: : Chukwudozie, Izuchukwu C, Oparaji Emeka H (2023). Assessment of Heavy Metals Loading Index and Stress Marker Enzymes in Agricultural Soil Receiving Cafeteria Effluent J of Ind Pollut Eff and Control 2: 101

Abstract

This study looked at assessing the impact of waste water from a cafeteria in University of Nigeria, Nsukka on soil physicochemical properties and quality marker enzyme activity. Physicochemical analysis of the soil before and after irrigation with the domestic waste water from the cafeteria showed the presence of the following: pH 7.4 and 6.65, conductivity 488 and 792 respectively. Dissolved mineral contents were found in the following order: Cl>Ca>Fe>SO₄>Mg>Cu>K>Pb>PO₃ while heavy metals of Hg, As and Cd were found at below detectable limit range (BDL) in both the irrigated and non irrigated soil. Total organic matter (TOM) and total organic carbon (TOC) contents were found at 91.66, 22.05 and 76.85, 179.93 in the various treatments. BOD₅ quotients was found at 5.2mg/ml with initial dissolved oxygen concentrations at 6.2 mg/ml. Dissolved mineral concentrations such as Cl, Ca, Mg, PO₃, Cu, Fe and SO₄ were found richly in abundance. Heavy metals such as Pb was found in trace quantity were as Cd, As and Hg were found in non detectable range. TDS, TSS and TS were recorded at 23036, 396.5 and 23433. Analysis of soil enzymes showed the activity of the lipase, urease, peroxidase and catalase with corresponding OD reading of 0.403, 0.611, 0.652 and 0.817 respectively. There was an increase of lipase, urease and peroxidase after the irrigation with the waste with OD of 0.610, 0.677 and 0.712 respectively while catalase activity was reduced.

Keywords: enzymes; physicochemical; heavy metals; waste water; soil.

Introduction

The use of municipal waste water and effluent of domestic constituents on agricultural soil have provided a salvage pathway for waste disposal through utilization of the recyclable constituents in the waste water for optimized agricultural produce [12].

Wastewater production sources include different human activities, such as: Industrial, commercial, and domestic activities [4]. Municipal wastewater is also sometimes distinguished into urban, rural, and agricultural areas and sources. With the rapid expansion of human population, cities, industries, and the domestic water supply, the quantity of wastewater production is increasing at the same proportion [12]. The average volume of wastewater generated daily by human activities depends on the availability of the water quantity in the house, the cultural level and type, the cost of the water, and the economic conditions [4].

Agriculture is the most common area in which untreated wastewater is reused. According to an estimate in 2004, approximately 20 million ha is irrigated with wastewater in fifty countries worldwide [5]. The use of wastewater for crop irrigation has further increased in recent years. The municipal wastewater demand corresponds to 11% of the water withdrawal globally [12]. About 3% of the municipal wastewater demand is consumed and the remaining 8% is being discharged as wastewater; that is, 330 km³ of wastewater per year, which is potentially irrigating almost 40 million ha (approximately 8000 m³ per ha) or 15% of all irrigated lands [5].

Wastewater usage for crop irrigation has certain advantages such as providing the essential nutrients and organic matter, saving water and nutrients, and reducing water contamination. It has been reported that quite sufficient quantities of macronutrients (N, P, and K) are supplied to soil and plants via wastewater application. Therefore, it is a great temptation for poor farmers to irrigate crops with wastewater as it can reduce the crop production cost, with the cost of crop production decreasing by 10%–20% when irrigated using wastewater (WHO, 2006).

The fundamental problem facing our country Nigeria is inadequate machinery in waste management. This is to an extent due to the bridge of policies, inadequate infrastructure and economic challenges. This has hampered the nation's economic developments in agriculture, industrial and other otherwise [8]. Agriculture still stands out as a major fulcrum in our country's major developmental stride for the achievements of her dream 2020. Over the years, records from ecological impact assessments have shown how various wastes from different sources have impacted on the various ecological niches/properties of our biosphere. These records have kept mouth shattering of the sustainability our environment (soil) which is the centre for various earthly activities [3]. Sustainability of the agricultural sector in our developing country Nigeria cannot be over emphasized; assessment of quality markers of soil for efficient agricultural practices gives a view of the level of recalcitrant present in the soil. Agricultural practices and its bountifulness can only improve when the integrity of the surrounding soil is not compromised. The present study provides information on the impact of domestic waste water from a cafeteria irrigated on agricultural soil on soil physicochemical properties and soil quality marker enzymes.

Materials and Methods

Materials

All the reagents, equipment used in the present study were of analytical grade and products of BDh, May and Baker, Sigma Alrich. The equipment is calibrated at each use.

Collection of the Experimental Samples

Soil samples: Arable soil was collected from the back of soil science Department University of Nigeria, Nsukka Enugu state (Long.140N, SE 4) as described by [10]. The soil was collected in clean sterile sample containers and was taken to the lab for further experiments

Collection of Water Sample: Domestic waste water was collected from the kitchen reservoir of a Cafeteria located within the premise of University of Nigeria, Nsukka Enugu state; the water was collected using sterile sample bottle as described in the journal of [2] and was taken to the department of biochemistry laboratory for further analysis.

Determination of physicochemical properties of the soil and waste water: Soil and waste water physicochemical properties were determined as described in the journal of [2]. Physicochemical properties: pH, conductivity, dissolved minerals (Cl, Mg, Ca, K, PO3, TS, TDS, TSS, DO, BOD, heavy metals (As, Cd, Cr, Hg, Pb, Cu) were assessed.

Contamination of the Soil with the Petroleum Effluents: Soil sample gotten from Soil Science Garden of University of Nigeria, Nsukka was polluted with the effluents from the cafeteria surge tank at concentrations of 0 (control), 10, 50 and 100 % (v/50kg) as described by [4]. The polluted soils and the control experiment were acclimatized for 12 hours after the pollution prior to the assessment studies. Physicochemical properties of the polluted soil samples were also analysed as described in section 2.2.3 above

Soil Enzyme Assessment: Soil quality marker enzymes were determined using standard assay protocols, enzyme such as: Lipase, catalase, urease and peroxidase were assayed as described by [4-5], Douglas and [7] respectively.

Statistical Analysis

One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and statistical micro word excel was used in the analysis of the data. T-student test was used in comparism of the data significances

Results

Physiochemical Parameters	Control Water Sample	Waste Water Sample
pH	7.20	5.30
Water Conductivity (Ω cm)	782	913
Chloride ion (Mg/L)	7123	1203.42
Dissolved oxygen (Mg/L)	7.02	5.76
Magnesium (Mg/L)	16.24	24.21
Potassium (Mg/L)	1.02	8.18
Calcium (Mg/L)	32.33	39.76
B0D5	0.93	4.02
Iron (Mg/L)	1.25	22.29
Cadmium (Mg/L)	BDL	BDL
Mercury (Mg/L)	BDL	BDL
Arsenic (Mg/L)	BDL	BDL
Lead (Mg/L)	BDL	10.32
Copper (Mg/L)	1.14	17.22
Total Organic Carbon (TOC) (mg/L)	38.40	184.41
Total Organic Matter (mg/L)	46.74	226.82

Table 1: Physicochemical Properties of the Waste Water.

N=2

Table one shows the physicochemical properties of the waste water from municipal ditch characterized with cafeteria effluent. The results showed very much in contrast the properties of the waste water from the control experiment.

Table two shows the physicochemical properties of the waste water from municipal ditch characterized with cafeteria effluent. The results showed very much in contrast the properties of the waste water from the control experiment.

Physiochemical Parameters	Control Soil Sample	Treated Soil Sample
pH	7.40	6.65
Soil Conductivity (Ω^{\dagger} cm ^{\dagger})	488	792
Chloride ion (Mg/kg)	698	1162.91
Phosphorus (Mg/kg)	2.92	5.81
Magnesium (Mg/kg)	20.17	14.62
Potassium (Mg/kg)	9.62	7.25
Calcium (Mg/kg)	28.43	26.21
SO, (Mg/kg)	15.39	17.44
Iron (Mg/kg)	16.55	24.15
Cadmium (Mg/kg)	BDL	BDL
Mercury (Mg/kg)	BDL	BDL
Arsenic (Mg/kg)	BDL	BDL
Lead (Mg/kg)	5.23	6.30
Copper (Mg/kg)	4.28	14.12
Total Organic Carbon (TOC) (Mg/kg)	76.85	179.93
Total Organic Matter	91.66	221.05
Soil Temperature	34.5 C	36.0 °C

N=2

Figure 1, one shows the activity of the identified soil quality marker enzymes from the agricultural soil contaminated with the municipal waste water and the control experiment.




Figure 1: Activity of soil enzymes from the waste water polluted soil

Discussion

The use of municipal waste water and effluent of domestic constituents on agricultural soil have provided a salvage pathway for waste disposal through utilization of the recyclable constituents in the waste water for optimized agricultural produce. Besides these benefits, a number of drawbacks are associated with the use of wastewater for crop irrigation (WHO, 2006). Wastewater contains potentially toxic elements (PTEs) such as zinc, chromium, copper, cadmium, nickel, lead, mercury, and parasitic worms, which can induce severe risks to the human health and the environment.

This study looked at assessing the impact of waste water from a cafeteria in University of Nigeria, Nsukka on soil physicochemical properties and quality marker enzyme activity. Physicochemical analysis of the soil before and after irrigation with the domestic waste water from the cafeteria showed the presence of the following: pH 7.4 and 6.65, conductivity 488 and 792 respectively. This can be attributed to the nature of the contaminant in the soil such as oil and other kitchen condiments which can contain higher acidic contents (oleic, benzoic acids) as stated in the proceedings of the [2], Dissolved mineral contents were found in the following order: Cl>Ca>Fe>SO₄>Mg>Cu>K>Pb>PO₃ while heavy metals of Hg, As and Cd were found at below detectable limit range (B-DL) in both the irrigated and non irrigated soil. Total organic matter (TOM) and total organic carbon (TOC) contents were found at 91.66, 22.05 and 76.85, 179.93 in the various treatments. in their study at Eleme petrochemical jetting port site reported a similar correlation of ions concentrations in the contaminated Eleme port soil. They revealed a higher concentration of the mineral ions in the following order 2.28, 1.84, 5.22 and 1789.22 mg/g respectively for K, nitrate, magnesium and chloride ions [12] in their assessment study on soil pollution and Lead (Pb) accumulation revealed higher quotients of heavy metals like Fe, Pb and Cu in the soil while heavy metals of Hg, As, Cd were found below detectable limits in the soil. The same trend was found in the waste water as the BOD₅ quotients was found at 5.2mg/ml with initial dissolved oxygen concentrations at 6.2 mg/ml. [4] stated that dissolved oxygen concentration (DO) is said to have reciprocal relationship with the biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) in water, he went on to state that the presence of organic matter in water bodies increases biochemical activities of aquatic flora and fauna and such leads to their exponential multiplications (Bloom) and demand for oxygen for biochemical activities (oxidation, respiration etc). Dissolved mineral concentrations such as Cl, Ca, Mg, PO₃, Cu, Fe and SO₄ were found richly in abundance. Heavy metals such as Pb was found in trace quantity were as Cd, As and Hg were found in non detectable range. TDS, TSS and TS were recorded at 23036, 396.5 and 23433. This as reported in the proceedings of [2]. that every exposed water body are characterized by the presence of solid particles which may be suspended within the costal water axis or dissolved in the olefiers of the water bed.

Analysis of soil enzymes showed the activity of the lipase, urease, peroxidase and catalase with corresponding OD reading of 0.403, 0.611, 0.652 and 0.817 respectively. There was an increase of lipase, urease and peroxidase after the irrigation with the waste with OD of 0.610, 0.677 and 0.712 respectively while catalase activity was reduced. Vallero 2010 reported the activities of quality marker soil enzymes in the presence of recalcitrants; most constitutive enzymes are said to be mark qualities of soil otherwise House-keeping enzymes whose activities are activated in the presence/influx of recalcitrants to a particular ecological nich(es). Lipases are activated in the presence of triacylglyceride while peroxidase and catalse activity is stimulated in the presence of peroxide and other superoxides; urease activity is activated in the presence of organic matter urea.

Conclusion

The results from the present study have shown the vulnerability of agricultural soil to effluent from domestic sources used for irrigation and its impact on agricultural productivity. Despite the agronomical potentials of the waste water in composite such as abundant dissolved mineral contents (Cl, Ca, Mg, Cu, Fe), high conductivity among other benefits, there exist a reasonable amount of potential toxic element (PTE) they contain such as Pb.

Funding Information

This work was solely funded by Chukwudozie Izuchukwu C.

Author's Contributions

Chukwudozie Izuchukwu C.: Conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiment and processed the data, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Oparaji, Emeka H. Revised the manuscript, performed the experiment and analysed the data.

Ethics

Authors declared no ethical issues that may arise after the publication of this manuscript.

References

1. Agency for Toxic Substance Development and Disease Registry (ATSDR) (2003). Documentary on toxicological profile of total petroleum hydrocarbon contaminations. Agency for toxic substance and disease registry, division of toxicology and toxicology information branch, Atlanta Georgia.

2. Agency for Toxic Substance Development and Disease Registry (ATSDR) (2009). Documentary on toxicological profile of total petroleum hydrocarbon contaminations. Agency for toxic substance and disease registry, division of toxicology and toxicology information branch, Atlanta Georgia.

3. Ajanaku L (2007). Battling with Darkness. TELL (Special Edition) 31-3.

4. Alobaidy A, Abid H and Maulood B. (2010). Application of water quality index for assessment of Dokan lake ecosystem, Kurdistan region, Iraq. Journal of Water Resources 2: 792.

5. Chen Z, Ngo H and Guo W (2013). A critical review on the end uses of recycled water. Critical Review on Environal Science and Technology 43: 1446-1516.

6. Chukwu M and Adams E (2016). Effect of Generator (Exhaust) Fumes on the Growth and Development of Lycopersicum esculentus (Tomato). Journal of Applied Environmental Science and Management, 20: 335-40.

7. Douglas D and Bremner E (1971). A rapid method of evaluating different compounds as inhibitors of urease activity in soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 3: 309-15.

8. Emeka E (2008). Development crisis of power supply and implications for industrial sector in Nigeria. Students Tribalism 6: 83-92.

9. Ejedegba O, Onyeneke E and Oviasogie P (2007). Characterisation of lipase isolated from coconut seed under different nutrient conditions. African Journal of Biotechnology, 6: 723-7.

10. 10. Ezeonu M, Okafor J and Ogbonna J (2013). Laboratory Exercise in Microbiology. Ist edn. Ephrata Publishing and Printing Company, Nsukka 100-17.

11. Kathirvelan P and Kalaiselvan P (2007). Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) Leaf Area Estimation Using Allometric Model. Research Journal on Agriculture and Biological Sciences 3: 59-61

12. Khalid S, Shahid M, Dumat C, Niazi N, Bibi I et.al., (2017). Influence of groundwater and wastewater irrigation on lead accumulation in soil and vegetables: Implications for health risk assessment and phytoremediation. International Journal Phytoremediation 19: 1037-46.